

2. Accelerated Failure Time Model

- Accelerated failure time model (AFTM)

- failure time T

- linear regression model on log-transformed T :

$$\log T = -\beta^T Z + \epsilon$$

- β : regression parameter - ratio of failure time per unit change in covariate

- ϵ : random error with unspecified distribution

- directly modeling on failure time

- Alternative form 1 (in survival functions):

$$\begin{aligned} S(t | Z) &= \Pr\{T > t | Z\} = \Pr\{\log T > \log t | Z\} \\ &= \Pr\{-\beta^T Z + \epsilon > \log t | Z\} \\ &= \Pr\{\exp(\epsilon) > t \exp(\beta^T Z) | Z\} = S_0(t \exp(\beta^T Z)) \end{aligned}$$

- Alternative form 2 (in quantile functions):

$$Q(p | Z) = Q_0(p) \exp(-\beta^T Z)$$

- Alternative form 3 (in hazard functions)

$$S(t | Z) = S_0(t \exp(\beta^T Z))$$

$$\Rightarrow - \frac{d \log S(t | Z)}{d\beta} = - \frac{d \log S_0(t \exp(\beta^T Z))}{d\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(t | Z) = \lambda_0(t \exp(\beta^T Z)) \exp(\beta^T Z)$$

- cf. proportional hazards model

$$\lambda(t | Z) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta^T Z)$$

- the only difference is additional time-scale change in hazard function

- Estimation:
 - data: $\{(X_i, \Delta_i, Z_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$
 - assumption: conditional independence
 - how do we estimate β ?
- Can we use QPS approach?
 - assume one-dimensional β
 - calculate the score function for β as if $\lambda_0(\cdot)$ were known

- How do we estimate $\lambda_0(\cdot)$?

- first consider the QPS approach for the proportional hazards model

- since $E[dM_i(u; \beta_0)] = 0$, let's consider

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n dN_i(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) \hat{\lambda}(u | Z_i) du \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(u) \hat{\lambda}_0(u e^{\beta_0 Z_i}) e^{\beta_0 Z_i} du\end{aligned}$$

- it seems there is no easy way to factor out $\hat{\lambda}_0(\cdot)$ for a solution of this equation

- but how about let's replace u with $u e^{-\beta_0 Z_i}$?

– alternatively, consider

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{N_i(se^{-\beta_0 Z_i}), Y_i(se^{-\beta_0 Z_i}), Z_i; s \leq t, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

– then

$$\begin{aligned} E[dN_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{u-}] &= Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i}) d\Lambda(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i} \mid Z_i) \\ &= Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i}) \lambda(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i} \mid Z_i) e^{-\beta_0 Z_i} du \\ &= Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i}) \lambda_0(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i} \cdot e^{\beta_0 Z_i}) \cdot e^{\beta_0 Z_i} e^{-\beta_0 Z_i} du \\ &= Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i}) \lambda_0(u) du \end{aligned}$$

– hence we can use

$$\sum_{i=1}^n dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \hat{\lambda}_0(u) du$$

to solve for $\Lambda_0(\cdot)$:

$$\hat{\Lambda}_0(t; \beta) = \int_0^t \frac{\sum_i dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})}{\sum_i Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})}$$

– about $\hat{\Lambda}_0(\cdot; \beta_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\sum_i dN_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i})}{\sum_i Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i})} &= \int_0^t \frac{n^{-1} \sum_i dN_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i})}{n^{-1} \sum_i Y_i(ue^{-\beta_0 Z_i})} \\ &\rightarrow^D \int_0^t \frac{EdN(ue^{-\beta_0 Z})}{EY(ue^{-\beta_0 Z})} = \Lambda_0(t) \end{aligned}$$

• What equation shall we use to estimate β ?

– now let's consider the score for β

$$l'(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \log \lambda(u | Z_i; \beta)}{\partial \beta} dM_i(u; \beta)$$

– what is $\partial \log \lambda(u | Z_i; \beta) / \partial \beta$?

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log\{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z_i})e^{\beta Z_i}\}}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \{\log \lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z_i}) + \beta Z_i\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda'_0(ue^{\beta Z_i})}{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z_i})} ue^{\beta Z_i} Z_i + Z_i \\ &= \left[\frac{\lambda'_0(ue^{\beta Z_i})ue^{\beta Z_i}}{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z_i})} + 1 \right] Z_i \\ &= W(ue^{\beta Z_i}) Z_i \\ &\propto Z_i \end{aligned}$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is considered as weight function

– for simplicity, first consider $W(u) \equiv 1$ and plug in $\hat{\Lambda}_0(\cdot; \beta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty Z_i \{dN_i(u) - Y_i(u) \hat{\lambda}(u | Z_i) du\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty Z_i \{dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) - Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \hat{\lambda}(ue^{-\beta Z_i} | Z_i) d(ue^{-\beta Z_i})\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty Z_i \{dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) - Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \hat{\lambda}_0(ue^{-\beta Z_i} \cdot e^{\beta Z_i}) e^{\beta Z_i} d(ue^{-\beta Z_i})\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty Z_i \{dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) - Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \hat{\lambda}_0(u) du\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \left[Z_i dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) - Z_i Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \frac{\sum_i dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})}{\sum_i Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty [Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)] dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{Z}(u; \beta) = \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}$$

- hence, to estimate β , we shall set

$$S_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} [Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)] dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) = 0$$

for a solution $\hat{\beta}$

- what is $S_n(\beta)$?

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} [Z_i - \bar{Z}(ue^{\beta Z_i}; \beta)] dN_i(u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(X_i e^{\beta Z_i} \cdot e^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(X_i e^{\beta Z_i} \cdot e^{-\beta Z_j})} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j I(X_j e^{\beta Z_j} \geq X_i e^{\beta Z_i})}{\sum_j I(X_j e^{\beta Z_j} \geq X_i e^{\beta Z_i})} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j I\{\epsilon_j(\beta) \geq \epsilon_i(\beta)\}}{\sum_j I\{\epsilon_j(\beta) \geq \epsilon_i(\beta)\}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- this is linear rank test for $\beta = \beta_0$

- What if $\beta = 0$?

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j I(X_j e^{\beta Z_j} \geq X_i e^{\beta Z_i})}{\sum_j I(X_j e^{\beta Z_j} \geq X_i e^{\beta Z_i})} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(X_i)}{\sum_j Y_j(X_i)} \right],$$

which is log-rank statistic

- What do we learn from this seemingly long derivation yet full of coincidences?
 - the $O - E$ routine works
 - time-scale can be changed with appropriate filtration
 - risk set structure is preserved

- Accelerated failure time model:

$$\log T = -\beta^T Z + \epsilon$$

- β -estimation: solve

$$S_n(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \hat{\beta}) \right\} dN_i(ue^{-\hat{\beta}Z_i}) = 0,$$

where

$$\bar{Z}(u; \beta) = \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}$$

- $S_n(\beta)$:

$$S_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j I\{\epsilon_j(\beta) \geq \epsilon_i(\beta)\}}{\sum_j I\{\epsilon_j(\beta) \geq \epsilon_i(\beta)\}} \right],$$

which is a function of the ranks for residuals, $\epsilon_i(\beta) = \log X_i + \beta Z_i$

- What is the difficulty?
 - $S_n(\beta)$ changes only when ranks are altered for at most $\binom{n}{2}$ times
 - $S_n(\beta)$ is not a continuous function of β for a given n but a step function
 - the usual Taylor series expansion does not work

- How do we show the asymptotics of $\hat{\beta}$?
 - asymptotic linear approximation
 1. find a neighborhood of β_0
 2. approximate $S_n(\beta)$ by a close enough $\tilde{S}_n(\beta)$ linear in β
 3. solve $\tilde{S}_n(\beta_*) = 0$: $n^{1/2}(\beta_* - \beta_0) \rightarrow_D \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_*^2)$
 4. then show: $n^{1/2}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_*) \rightarrow_P 0$

- Proof outline

- for simplicity, assume $\beta_0 = 0$: $\lambda_i(t) = \lambda(t | Z_i) = \lambda_0(t)$

- notice that

- * since $\bar{Z}(u; \beta) = \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}$

- * therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} \lambda_0(u) Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})} \right\} \lambda_0(u) Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} Z_i \lambda_0(u) Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sum_j Z_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})}{\sum_j Y_j(ue^{-\beta Z_j})} \times \lambda_0(u) Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

– consider arbitrary β in a neighborhood of $U(0)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_n(\beta) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} \{dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) - Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})d\Lambda_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \{\lambda_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i})e^{-\beta Z_i} - \lambda_0(u)\} du \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} dM_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \{\lambda_0(ue^{-\beta Z_i})e^{-\beta Z_i} - \lambda_0(u)\} du \\
&= \text{Term I} + \text{Term II}
\end{aligned}$$

– Term I:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} dM_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(ue^{\beta Z_i}; \beta)\} dM_i(u) \end{aligned}$$

– $\beta \in U(0) \Rightarrow \bar{Z}(ue^{\beta Z_i}; \beta) \approx \bar{Z}(u; \beta)$

– therefore, Term I is approximated by $S_n(0)$, i.e., $S_n(\beta)$ at true $\beta_0 = 0$

- Term II:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \{\lambda_0(ue^{-\beta Z_i})e^{-\beta Z_i} - \lambda_0(u)\} du \\ & \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) \left. \frac{\partial \{\lambda_0(ue^{-\beta Z_i})e^{-\beta Z_i}\}}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta=0} (\beta - 0) du \end{aligned}$$

-

$$\left. \frac{\partial \{\lambda_0(ue^{-\beta Z_i})e^{-\beta Z_i}\}}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta=0} = -\{\lambda_0'(u)u + \lambda_0(u)\}Z_i = -\{\lambda_0(u)u\}'Z_i$$

- Term II can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} & -n\beta \times n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{\lambda_0(u)u\}'Z_i \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du \\ & = -n\beta \times n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{\lambda_0(u)u\}' \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\}^2 Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du \end{aligned}$$

- Term II can be approximated by

$$-n\beta \times n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \{\lambda_0(u)u\}' \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\}^2 Y_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) du$$

- let $B(u; \beta) = E[\{Z - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\}^2 Y(ue^{-\beta Z})]$

- then Term II can be further approximated by $-n\beta A(0)$, where

$$A(0) = \int_0^{\infty} \{\lambda_0(u)u\}' B(u, 0) du$$

- in summary of approximation

- Term I: $S_n(0)$

- Term II: $-n\beta A(0)$

- $S_n(\beta)$:

$$\tilde{S}_n(\beta) = S_n(0) - n\beta A(0)$$

is a linear function of $\beta \in U(0)$

- denote $\tilde{S}(\beta_*) = 0$, i.e.

$$n^{1/2}\beta_* = \frac{n^{-1/2}S_n(0)}{A(0)}$$

- therefore

$$n^{1/2}\beta_* \rightarrow_D \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_*^2)$$

- How to show $n^{1/2}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_*) \rightarrow_P 0$?
 - this is basically to show that $\hat{\beta}$, if exists, shall be consistent
 - such consistency then requires sufficient closeness of $S_n(\beta)$ to $\tilde{S}_n(\beta)$:

$$\sup_{|\beta| < cn^{-1/2}} n^{-1/2} |S_n(\beta) - \tilde{S}_n(\beta)| \rightarrow_P 0$$

for any constant $c > 0$

– to establish this condition

1. pointwise convergence: for any fixed $d > 0$

$$n^{-1/2} |S_n(n^{-1/2}d) - \tilde{S}_n(n^{-1/2}d)| \rightarrow_P 0$$

2. for a fixed set of d_i forming a mesh $d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_m$:

$$\max_{i=1}^m n^{-1/2} |S_n(n^{-1/2}d_i) - \tilde{S}_n(n^{-1/2}d_i)| \rightarrow_P 0$$

3. $n^{-1/2}S_n(\beta)$ does not fluctuate within any interval in the mesh

– Since $n^{-1/2}S_n(0) \rightarrow_D \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ by MCLT,

$$n^{1/2}\hat{\beta} \rightarrow_D \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2/A(0)^2)$$

– Ref: Tsiatis (1990, Ann. Stat.)

- Variance calculation

- how to calculate $A(0) = \int_0^\infty \{\lambda_0(u)u\}' B(u, 0) du$, which involves the baseline hazard function and its derivative?

- * direct approach: kernel density estimation recommended to estimate baseline hazard function

- * simulation approach

- * bootstrap approach

– Weighted estimation

$$S_n^W(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_n(u; \beta) \{Z_i - \bar{Z}(u; \beta)\} dN_i(ue^{-\beta Z_i}) = 0,$$

- * $W_n(u; \beta_0)$ is \mathcal{F}_u -measurable and converges uniformly in probability to a deterministic function $w(u)$
- * $W_n(u) = \sum_j Y_j(u)/n$: Gehan-Wilcoxon
- * $W_n(u) = \hat{S}_{KM}(u-)$: Prentice-Wilcoxon
- * $W_n(u) = \{\hat{S}_{KM}(u-)\}^\rho$: G^ρ -family

- Asymptotic properties on weighted estimators $\hat{\beta}_n^W$ for a general β_0

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{\beta}_n^W - \beta_0) \rightarrow_D \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(w)/A(w)^2)$$

$$* \sigma_W^2 = \int_0^\infty w(u; \beta_0)^2 A(u, \beta_0) \lambda(u | Z) du$$

$$* A(w) = \int_0^\infty w(u; \beta_0) A(u, \beta_0) \{\lambda(u | Z)u\}' du$$

- By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, optimal weight function should be proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\{\lambda(u | Z)u\}'}{\lambda(u | Z)} &= \frac{\{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z})u\}'}{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z})} \\ &= \left[\frac{\{\lambda_0'(ue^{\beta Z})ue^{\beta Z}\}}{\lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z})} + 1 \right] Z \\ &= \frac{d}{d\beta} \{\log \lambda_0(ue^{\beta Z}) + \beta Z\} \\ &= \frac{\partial \log \lambda(t | Z)}{\partial \beta} \end{aligned}$$

- Partial likelihood for AFTM

- data: (X_i, Δ_i, Z_i)

- $e_i(\beta) = \log X_i + \beta Z_i = \min\{\log T_i - \beta Z_i, \log C_i - \beta Z_i\} = \min(\epsilon_i, d_i)$

- $\Delta_i = 1$ for $\epsilon_i \leq d_i$ and 0 for $\epsilon_i > d_i$

- for a known β , data reformulated as $(e_i(\beta), \Delta_i, Z_i)$.

- when $\beta = \beta_0$, $\log T_i + \beta_0 Z_i$ follows same distribution as if

$$\lambda(t | Z_i) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\gamma Z_i)$$

for $\gamma = 0$

- partial likelihood score function for γ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left[Z_i - \frac{\sum_j Z_j I\{e_j(\beta_0) \geq e_i(\beta_0)\}}{\sum_j I\{e_j(\beta_0) \geq e_i(\beta_0)\}} \right]$$

- Time-dependent covariates $\bar{Z}(t) = \{Z(s), 0 \leq s < t\}$
 - hazard-based model

$$\lambda(t | \bar{Z}(t)) = \lambda_0 \left[\int_0^t \exp\{\beta Z(u)\} du \right] \exp\{\beta Z(t)\}$$

- References:
 - Cox & Oakes (1984, p.66)
 - Robins & Tsiatis (1992, Bmka)
 - Lin & Ying (1995, JSPI)